S S S
The same of the sa
market.
55.
. (
~
. V
Š
1
7
~~
W

1 2 3 4 5		FILED ENTERED LODGED RECEIVED GEAL SO 2003 DJ ALGEANTIE GLIER LIST OF WASHINGTON DEPUTY	
6 7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
9			
10	JESSE CARD)		
11	2928 HOYT AVE., APT. B) EVERETT, WA 98201)		
12	,)		
13	r izintiiri,	CV03 23854	
14	v.)	Civil Action No.	
15	CITY OF EVERETT, WASHINGTON) 2930 WETMORE AVE.)	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND	
16	EVERETT, WA 98201	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
17	and)		
18) FRANK ANDERSON, in his official capacity as		
19	Mayor of Everett, Washington.) 2930 WETMORE AVE.)		
20	EVERETT, WA 98201		
21	Defendants.		
22)		
23	/		
24	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY	AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
25	1. Plaintiff, JESSE CARD, challenges the City of Everett, Washington's acceptance,		
26	installation, maintenance and display of a granite Ten Commandments monument (the		
27			
28	Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief - Page 1	Ziontz, Chestnut, Varnell, Berley & Slonim 2101 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1230 Seattle, WA 98121 (206) 448-1230	

"Monument") on public property. The Monument sits in front of the old Everett City Hall, which now serves as Everett's central police station. The City of Everett's display of the Monument violates the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, made applicable to the States through the Fourteenth Amendment, and deprives Plaintiff of his constitutional rights in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Additionally, the display of the Monument contravenes Article One, Section 11 of the Washington Constitution. Accordingly, Plaintiff seeks a declaration that the display of the Monument violates his federal and state constitutional rights, a permanent injunction requiring removal of the Monument, reasonable costs and attorneys fees, and such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to: 28 U.S.C. § 1331, which confers original federal question jurisdiction over claims arising under the laws and Constitution of the United States; 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a)(3) and (4), which grant original jurisdiction to hear claims asserted pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 to redress the deprivation, under color of State law, of any rights, privileges, and immunities guaranteed under the Constitution of the United States or by Act of Congress; and 28 U.S.C. § 1367, which grants supplemental jurisdiction over all claims that are so related to claims in the action within original jurisdiction, such that they form part of the same case or controversy. The violations of the United States Constitution and the Washington Constitution both arise out of the City of Everett's acceptance, installation, maintenance, and display of the Ten Commandments monument.
- 3. This Court has authority to grant declaratory relief in this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, which grant this Court jurisdiction to enter declaratory relief in actual controversies within its jurisdiction and to provide any additional necessary and proper relief.
- 4. This Court has authority to issue a permanent injunction in this matter pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

4

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

17

16

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Defendant is a city located within the judicial district and a substantial portion of the events giving rise to this action occurred therein. **PARTIES**

5. This Court is an appropriate venue pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because the

- 6. Plaintiff, JESSE CARD, is an adult resident and citizen of Everett, Washington. Mr. Card lives two blocks from the old City Hall and the Monument. Mr. Card comes into contact with the Monument at least once a week, when carrying out routine business, shopping, and social activities within the City of Everett. Mr. Card is offended by the Ten Commandments display in front of the old City Hall because it conveys a message of state endorsement of religion in general, and a specific religious viewpoint in particular, and thereby ostracizes citizens who do not conform to the religious beliefs that the Monument expresses. Because of his aversion to this sectarian religious display, Mr. Card tries to avoid seeing the Monument whenever possible, although he often must travel by it.
- 7. Defendant, the CITY OF EVERETT, WASHINGTON, is a municipality established under the laws of the State of Washington. The City of Everett owns, controls, and maintains the land in front of the old City Hall, on which the Monument at issue sits.
- 8. Defendant FRANK ANDERSON is named in his official capacity as the mayor of Everett, Washington. The Mayor of the City of Everett has responsibility over all public buildings and grounds located within the City.

FACTS

9. In the 1940s, one E.J. Ruegemer, a juvenile court judge in Minnesota, collaborated with movie producer Cecil B. DeMille, who was working on the film, "The Ten Commandments," in developing a plan to construct granite monuments inscribed with the Ten Commandments for display throughout the country. Judge Ruegmer contacted the Fraternal Order of Eagles ("FOE") to assist him in proliferating the Ten Commandments displays. Local chapters of the FOE financed the construction of granite monuments inscribed with the Ten Commandments and, throughout the 1950s, donated them to their local communities. In 1959,

Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief - Page 4

the Everett Aerie of the Fraternal Order of Eagles donated a granite monument of the Ten Commandments to the City of Everett, Washington.

10. On information and belief, on October 30, 1959, the City of Everett passed a resolution accepting the granite Monument inscribed with the Ten Commandments from the Everett Aerie of Eagles. This resolution stated, "moved by [C. Arvid] Johnson, seconded by [George] Gerbert to accept a six-foot high Granite Monolith of the Ten Commandments from the Everett Aerie No. 13, Fraternal Order of Eagles. Carried."

11. On December 19, 1959, the City of Everett unveiled the Ten Commandments Monument in a ceremony, which took place at the flower bed located directly in front of the old City Hall, where the Monument currently sits. This area was and continues to be under the City of Everett's control.

12. The following individuals participated in the unveiling ceremony for the Monument on December 19, 1959: Art Wester, the president of the Everett Aerie of Eagles; Judge Lawrence Leahy; Mayor George Culmback; the Reverend Harold J. Nelson of the Delta Baptist Church; the Reverend Edmond Long of the Perpetual Help Parish; the Reverend John Mattie of the Immaculate Conception Parish; the Reverend Emerson Pent of the Bethel Baptist Church; and Moe Silverstone of the Montifore Congregation.

13. Since its unveiling, the Monument has remained in the same location, on the flower bed located directly in front of the old Everett City Hall, located at 3002 Wetmore Ave. (on the west side of Wetmore Ave.), Everett WA, 98201.

14. Going west from Wetmore Ave. toward the old City Hall, there is an outer sidewalk, followed by a long, thin flower bed, a few feet wide, followed by an inner walkway, followed by another flower bed, which abuts the old City Hall. The Monument sits in the second flower bed, which abuts the old City Hall, approximately thirty feet north of the front entrance to the building. An individual coming from the north of the building must pass directly in front of the Ten Commandments Monument to access the front entrance to the old City Hall.

Seattle, WA 98121 (206) 448-1230

seven feet high, which are flanked by two viewing benches and two short flagpoles. Names are listed on the marble slabs, organized according to the particular wars.

- 19. The war memorial is located on the corner of Wetmore Ave. and Wall Street, approximately ten feet north of the Ten Commandments Monument. The war memorial stands in the corner of the second flower bed, which directly abuts the old City Hall.
- 20. When one stands on Wetmore Ave., facing west toward the old City Hall, the War Memorial is only partially visible, because it is obscured by shrubbery and because of its inset placement on the corner of the inner flower bed.
- 21. Other than the Ten Commandments Monument and the war memorial, the area in front of the old City Hall contains no further monuments, memorials, or markers.
- 22. The City of Everett is responsible for the continued display of the Ten Commandments Monument in front of the old City Hall and possesses the authority to remove it from public property.
- 23. The Ten Commandments is a religious text setting forth instructions from God. It is commonly understood to be associated with the Christian and Jewish faiths.
- 24. The acceptance, installation, maintenance, and display of the Ten Commandments Monument in front of the old Everett City Hall constitute the unlawful endorsement of religion.
- 25. On several occasions, Americans United for Separation of Church and State has written to representatives of the City of Everett, Washington urging the City to remove the Monument. However, representatives of the City of Everett have refused to take any action to cure the constitutional violation.

1	CLAIMS FOR RELIEF		
2	Count One		
3	The First and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution		
4	26. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-25, above.		
5	27. The First Amendment to the United States Constitution, made applicable to the states		
6	through the Fourteenth Amendment, prohibits the establishment of religion by the Defendants.		
7	28. The Defendants' acceptance, installation, maintenance, and display of the Ten		
8	Commandments Monument on public property violate the First and Fourteenth Amendments to		
9	the United States Constitution because the Defendants' actions have the primary purpose and		
10	effect of advancing or endorsing religion.		
11			
12	Count Two		
13	42 U.S.C. § 1983		
14	29. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-28, above.		
15	30. Defendants have acted under color of state law in their acceptance, installation,		
16	maintenance, and display of the Monument, in violation of the First and Fourteenth		
17	Amendments to the United States Constitution.		
18	31. Plaintiff is entitled to relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 because the deprivation of his		
19	constitutional rights occurred under color of state law.		
20			
21	Count Three		
22	Article One, Section 11 of the Washington Constitution		
23	32. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-31, above.		
24	33. Article 1, Section 11 of the Washington Constitution guarantees that no public		
25	money or property shall be appropriated or applied to any religious worship, exercise, or		
26	instruction, or for the support of any religious establishment.		
27			
28	Complaint for Declaratory Ziontz, Chestnut, Varnell, Berley & Slonim and Injunctive Relief - Page 7 2101 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1230 Seattle, WA 98121		

(206) 448-1230

34. The Ten Commandments is a religious text. The placement of the Monument on public property constitutes the application of public land toward religious worship, exercise, and instruction and for the support of a religious establishment.

35. The Defendants' acceptance, installation, maintenance, and display of the Ten Commandments Monument on public property violate Article One, Section 11 of the Washington Constitution.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, in light of the foregoing, Plaintiff respectfully requests that that the Court:

- 1. Issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and 28 U.S.C. §§
 2201 and 2202, declaring that the acceptance, installation, maintenance, and display of the Ten
 Commandments Monument in front of the old Everett, Washington City Hall violate the First
 and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and Article One, Section 11 of
 the Washington Constitution.
- 2. Issue a permanent injunction pursuant to Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and 42 U.S.C. § 1983 requiring the Defendants to remove the Ten Commandments Monument from the old Everett City Hall and forbidding its relocation to public property or property transferred to a private entity for the purpose of maintaining the Monument.
 - 3. Award Plaintiff damages in the amount of \$1.00.
- 4. Award Plaintiff the reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988.
 - 5. Grant such other and additional relief as the court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted, this 23 day of July, 2003, 1 2 More Slowing 3 Marc D. Slonim 4 WA State Bar No. 11181 Ziontz, Chestnut, Varnell, Berley & Slonim 5 2101 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1230 6 Seattle, WA 98121 7 David H. Remes* Benjamin C. Block* 8 Jeffrey M. Rosenfeld* Covington & Burling 9 1201 Pennsylvania Ave. NW 10 Washington, DC 20004 11 Ayesha Khan* Americans United 12 for Separation of Church and State 518 C Street, N.E. 13 Washington, D.C. 20002 14 *Petition for Admission Pro Hac Vice Pending 15 Counsel for Plaintiff 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 Complaint for Declaratory 28 Ziontz, Chestnut, Varnell, Berley & Slonim